

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trad mark Offi

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	F	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
087759,108 127	02/96 QIN		J 12	.975	
JOHN R SCHENIAN	IH	11/0409	REDDICK.W		AMINER
CIMBERLY CLARK C	ORPORATION		REDUICK, PI		
401 NORTH LAKE S	TREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEENAH WI 54956			1713		
			0	4 DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/759,108

Applicant(s)

QIN ET AL

Examiner

Judy M. Reddick

Group Art Unit 1713

TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) X expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap but	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 25, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth on this record. Further, Chmelir is directed to partial neutralization which frees up acid
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth on this record. Further, Chmelir is directed to partial neutralization which frees up acid groups.
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth on this record. Further, Chmelir is directed to partial neutralization which frees up acid
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth on this record. Further, Chmelir is directed to partial neutralization which frees up acid groups. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth on this record. Further, Chmelir is directed to partial neutralization which frees up acid groups. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: NONE Claims objected to: NONE Claims rejected: 1, 2, 4-16, and 33 The proposed drawing correction filed on
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth on this record. Further, Chmelir is directed to partial neutralization which frees up acid groups. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: NONE Claims objected to: NONE Claims rejected: 1, 2, 4-16, and 33 The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been applicated by the Examiner. Group 1700 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, first paragraph issue. Newly proposed or amended claims